

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

Chien-Wei Li	H0003762-1170	8268 IINER	
		INER	
	IVEY, ELIZ	ZABETH D	
P.O. Box 2245		PAPER NUMBER	
Morristown, NJ 07962-9806		1775	
		ART UNIT 1775	

DATE MAILED: 04/25/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)		
Office Action Summary	10/759,810	LI, CHIEN-WEI		
	Examiner	Art Unit		
	Elizabeth Ivey	1775		
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address		
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 16(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim rill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
Status		•		
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 16 Ja	nuary 2004.			
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) ⊠ This	action is non-final.			
3) Since this application is in condition for allowar	nce except for formal matters, pro	secution as to the merits is		
closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	53 O.G. 213.		
Disposition of Claims				
4) Claim(s) <u>1-49</u> is/are pending in the application.				
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray	vn from consideration.			
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.				
6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.				
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.				
8) Claim(s) <u>1-49</u> are subject to restriction and/or e	election requirement.	·		
Application Papers		•		
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.	•		
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ acce				
Applicant may not request that any objection to the				
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct				
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	raminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119				
12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).		
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority document	s have been received.			
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No				
3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior				
application from the International Bureau				
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	of the certified copies not receive	ed.		
Allertone	•	•		
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	· 4) Interview Summary	(PTO-413)		
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 	Paper No(s)/Mail D	ate		
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	5) Notice of Informal F	Patent Application (PTO-152)		
· aper rectalinate	J,	<u> </u>		

Application/Control Number: 10/759,810

Art Unit: 1775

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

- I. Claims 1-17 and 26-35, drawn to a coated superalloy component, classified in class 428, subclass 472.
- II. Claims 18-25, drawn to a coated silicon-based component, classified in class 428, subclass 446.
- III. Claims 36-40, drawn to a method for coating a silicon-based substrate, classified in class 427, subclass 596.
- IV. Claims 41-45, drawn to a method for coating a superalloy, classified in class 427, subclass 596.
- V. Claims 46-49, drawn to a method for coating a substrate, classified in class 427, subclass 248.1.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

Inventions Group I and Group IV are related as process of making and product made.

The inventions are distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) that the process as claimed can be used to make another and materially different product or (2) that the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different process (MPEP § 806.05(f)). In the

Art Unit: 1775

instant case the product may be made by a materially different process such as thermal spray or chemical vapor deposition.

Inventions Group II and Group III are related as process of making and product made. The inventions are distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) that the process as claimed can be used to make another and materially different product or (2) that the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different process (MPEP § 806.05(f)). In the instant case the product may be made by a materially different process such as chemical vapor deposition of thermal spraying.

Inventions Groups I and V and Groups II and V are related as process of making and product made. The inventions are distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) that the process as claimed can be used to make another and materially different product or (2) that the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different process (MPEP § 806.05(f)). In the instant case the product may be made by a materially different process such as without etching.

Inventions Group I and Group II are unrelated. Inventions are unrelated if it can be shown that they are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have different designs, modes of operation, and effects (MPEP § 802.01 and § 806.06). In the instant case, the different inventions have different designs in that they are made from different layers of entirely different materials with different properties.

Art Unit: 1775

Inventions Groups I and III and Groups II and IV are unrelated. Inventions are unrelated if it can be shown that they are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have different designs, modes of operation, and effects (MPEP § 802.01 and § 806.06). In the instant case, the different inventions are not disclosed and may not be used together.

Inventions Groups III, IV, and V are unrelated. Inventions are unrelated if it can be shown that they are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have different designs, modes of operation, and effects (MPEP § 802.01 and § 806.06). In the instant case, the different inventions are entirely different processes having different modes of operation.

Should applicant elect the claims of Group I, a further election of species is required.

This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species: oxides (claim 31), nitrides (claim 32), carbides (claim 33), silicides (claim 34) and metals (claim 35). The species are independent or distinct because they are mutually exclusive of one another.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, claim 30 is generic.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable

Application/Control Number: 10/759,810

Art Unit: 1775

thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which depend from or otherwise require all the limitations of an allowable generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Because these inventions are independent or distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art in view of their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper. Because the inventions of Groups III and IV are independent or distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art because of their recognized divergent subject matter, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

A telephone call was made to Michael Shimokaji on April 7, 2006 to request an oral election to the above restriction requirement, but did not result in an election being made.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species or invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

The election of an invention or species may be made with or without traverse. To reserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse.

Application/Control Number: 10/759,810

Art Unit: 1775

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the inventions or species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the inventions or species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C.103(a) of the other invention.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Elizabeth Ivey whose telephone number is (571) 272-8432. The examiner can normally be reached on 7:00- 4:30 M-Th and 7:00-3:30 alt. Fridays.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Jennifer McNeil can be reached on (571) 272-1540. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Elizabeth D. Ivey

JENNIFÉR C. MCNEIL SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

Elizabeth & Ivey